

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,208	09/28/2001	Mithat C. Dogan	015685.P123	6059
7:	590 04/19/2005	EXAMINER  NGUYEN, DUNG X		
Gordon R. Lir				
BLAKELY, SC Seventh Floor	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire		2631		
Los Angeles, (	CA 90025-1026	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/967,208	DOGAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung X Nguyen	2631			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ F	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 September 2001</u> .					
2a)□ 1	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
· ·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) <u>1 - 13</u> is/are allowed.	vn from consideration.				
·	Claim(s) <u>14 is/are rejected.</u>					
·	Claim(s) <u>15 - 17</u> is/are objected to.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicatio	n Papers					
9)∐ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[_ 1 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  All Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(	·					
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate : ratent Application (PTO-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a" as recited in line 3 should be changed to "the". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a" as recited in line 2 should be changed to "the". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a" first appearance as recited in line 3 should be changed to "the". Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a" first appearance as recited in line 2 should be changed to "the". Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a" as recited in line 2 should be changed to "the". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li (US patent

application publication # 2003/0021332 A1).

Regarding claim 14, Li discloses that a data store having stored (page # 5, first column, paragraph # 0056) therein a plurality of ordered sequences for use in generating training

sequences, wherein the training sequence is generated by taking a number of elements of one of

the plurality of ordered sequences in order, wherein the training sequence has at least one desired

property when used by a peak to average power modulation format (page 3, second column,

paragraphs #0036 - 0038).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 15 - 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action, or in

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. Claims 1 - 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in

this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening

claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding to the claimed invention, the prior art of record fails to show or render obvious

of a method includes selecting a set of one or more original ordered sequences such that the set

of ordered sequences has at least one desired property, creating a set of extended sequences, each

based on an original ordered sequence by beginning with an element of the original sequence:,

cyclically appending elements of the original sequence in order to obtain a desired extended

sequence length, and modifying each extended sequence using a corresponding modifying

sequence, such that the training sequence can be generated from any one of the modified

extended sequences by beginning with any one element of any one modified extended sequence

and taking each element of the any one sequence in order to obtain the training sequence.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

## <u>US patent application publication documents:</u>

Miller (US patent application publication # 2003/0081705 A1) discloses a compensation for non-linear distortion in a modern receiver.

MacFarlane Shearer, III et al. (US patent application publication # 2002/0193078 A1) discloses a remote power amplifier linearization.

Mody et al. (US patent application publication # 2002/0181509 A1) discloses time and frequency synchronization in multi-input, multi-output (MIMO) systems.

## US patent documents:

Böhnke et al. (US patent # 6,738,443 B1) discloses an optimized synchronization preamble structure.

Cooley et al. (US patent # 6,208,630 B1) discloses a remote power amplifier linearization.

McCalister et al. (US patent # 6,104,761) discloses a constrained-envelope digital-communications transmission system and method therefor.

Ottersten et al. (US patent # 5,828,658) discloses a spectrally efficient high capacity wireless communication systems with spatio-temporal processing.

Erdol et al. (US patent # 5,103,427) discloses a method and its corresponding apparatus for generating high-resolution data and echo identification.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,208

Art Unit: 2631

## **Contact Information**

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3010. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 17:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Ghayour H. Mohammad can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone numbers for this group is (571) 273-3021.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

**DXN** 

January 06, 2005.

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 5